Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/539,674	LEBER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>71</u>	
(1) <u>Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D</u> .	(3)	•
(2) <u>Laura K. Madden {Reg. No. 48,352}</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 August 2006	Time: <u>11:10am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		4
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Applicants' representative for permission to: (1) remove the underlining in newly added claim 8; and (2) change "one or more pharmaceutically acceptable excipients" to "a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier" in claim 8.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview</li> </ul>		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
		·
Land South		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)